Shoulder management in Overhead Athletes



Rob Tamminga

CV

- » Topsport-physiotherapist FysioHolland-Medicort
- » Head Physiotherapist AZ Alkmaar
- » High Performance Partner Team NL
- » Topsport combi-poli with dr. H. van der Hoeven, Bergmankliniek Naarden
- » Consulent high athletes











Discussion

Strategy in physical examination of the Overhead Athlete Shoulder

Strategy in treatment of the Overhead Athlete Shoulder





Sport Injuries Related to Shoulder (% of Total Injuries)

Mechanisms and Treatments for Shoulder Injuries in Overhead Throwing Athletes ACSM 2017

- Baseball (pitchers and position players) 58% to 69%
- Softball (pitchers and position players) 14% to 25%
- Cricket (bowlers) 12.5% to 41%
- Handball 7% to 40%
- Volleyball 8% to 60% , 33% to 53% due to overuse
- Football (quarterbacks) 15% , 2.1% due to overuse
- Tennis >10% (Aspetar 2017)



- The most common alterations: kinetic chain dysfunction, scapular dyskinesis and GIRD
- The most common surgery: RC repair, Capsule shift, Labrum / SLAP repair

Type of Shoulder Surgery

- Artroscopic:
- Subacromial decompression / Bursectomy
- Labrum repair
- SLAP repair
- Biceps tenodese / tenotomy
- Capsule shift
- Cuff repair
- Latarjet
- Combination of several type's of surgery

Dr. H. van der Hoeven, 2012





Strategy in physical examination of the Overhead Athlete Shoulder

- » Anamnese: trauma / overuse
- » Kinetic Chain
- » Scapula function / Shouldercapsule
- » ROM: CTO / ER-IR in 90dgr abduction
- » Opposite hip function
- » Role of Myofascia
- » Shouldertest including Ultrasound
- » Stanmore Triangle
- » Mobilise the CTO joint first?





Trauma / Overuse





Kibler

Changing view in management of the Overhead Shoulder



<u>W. Ben Kibler</u> The Role of the Scapula in Athletic Shoulder Function Am J Sports Medicine 26: 325 – 337 (1998)

Kinetic Chain

Shoulder

Trunk

and Back

Legs

TIME

Wrist

Elbow

F

0

R

С

Ε

20% loss of trunk energy results in 34%-80% overload in shoulder/arm region

SCH Up,

Lower extremity position and movement —>scapular recruitment and balance ratio's in open and closed kinetic chain exercises

Kibler, Fleisig 2003, Maenhout 2009, De Mey 2012

Kinetic Chain Common Faults



Corkscrewing Bowing Opposite Hip Drop

Forward Lean

Burkhart, Kibler Artroscopy 2003

Kinetic Chain analyses?



Trunk and Back



Weak hip-trunk-back muscles

Scapula Lateral Slide Test

Static position:

> 1.5 cm difference L/R Position I less active muscle activity Test-retest 0.84 – 0.88 Position II serratus / trapezius lower level Intertester 0.77 – 0.85 Position III trapezius / serratus/ rhomb. 40%



- Type 1-3 Dyskinesia
- Dynamic position: 1 Anterior tilt Tipping ang. inferior 2 Margo med. Winging 3 Ang. Superior Shrugging



Scapula diskinesis



Rehab takes time!!!



SAT TESTING

SCAPULAR ASSISTANCE TEST

Assist elevation Stabilize / Rotate inf-med. border scapula Relief of impingement signs !!

SCAPULAR RETRACTION TEST

Stabilize / retract medial scapular body Increase rotator cuff strength Decrease internal impingement

->Increased Subacromial Space

Kibler 1993, Rabin 2006, Eubanks 2011



Project Fastball (2016-2019)

• Dutch Newspaper and television about baseball injuries:

"Honkbal. Een blessuregevoelige sport. In september 2016 kopte de Volkskrant nog dat pitchen in het honkbal de meest gewelddadige beweging in de sport is, waardoor geen pitcher verschoond blijft van elleboog- en/of schouderblessures. En onlangs (25 januari 2017) besteedde Nieuwsuur nog een item aan Project FASTBALL van de TU Delft, VU Amsterdam en diverse partners waaronder de KNBSB, Medicort; Specialisten in Fysiotherapie en Manual Fysion. In dit project wordt onderzocht hoe Nederlandse pitchers blessurevrij harder kunnen werpen. Is training van invloed op (preventie van) blessures?"

Conclusion: Speed of pitching is negativ

Speed of pitching is negative related with restricted opposite Hip function and / or restricted rotation of the Spine



Opposite Hip





Shoulder Range of Motion

• GH restriction: Abduction < 90 degrees

Red Flag!!!



- Painful Arc: Use SAT / SRT!!!
- CTO mobility: Rotation ce, Lateroflexion / Extension
 C4-T4: examination 3D homoside extension



Restriction CTO —> Restriction in GH / ST

Ellenbecker position

Overhead Athlete need >100 Degrees ER Normal side difference 20% IR TROM 10% side difference



GIRD = 30 degrees!



Overhead Athlete: Normal adaptation in ER / IR



ER + IR = TM >180 Degrees

<u>Cools 2008</u> <u>Shane T. Seroyer, Sports Health 2009</u> Ellenbecker, Med Sci Sports Exercise 2002 Ellenbecker, Rome Congres Throwing Motion 2012

Ellenbecker position



Elite Javelin thrower

Elite volleyball player

Pozzi 2020



Shoulder-test



Physical Examination Tests for the Shoulder Complex

| | IIUEA (| DITESUS | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Tests for a Torn Rotator Cuff/In | npingem | ent | | |
| Rent Test | 78 | Drop Arm Test | 84 | |
| Supine Impingement Test | 79 | Empty Can/Supraspinatus Test | 8 | |
| Lift-Off Test | 80 | Full Can/Supraspinatus Test | 86 | |
| Internal Rotation Lag Sign | 81 | Posterior Impingement Sign | 87 | |
| External Rotation Lag Sign | 87 | Hornblower's Sign | 88 | |
| Drop Sign | | | 89 | |
| an and management for the | | and the second | | |
| Tests for gement | wipi is | nem all entrated and and and | 90 | |
| ntresisted Strength | | Hawkins-Kennedy Test | 94 | |
| (IRRST) | 90 | Painful Arc Test | 5 | |
| | | | | |
| raspinatus Test | 92 | Cross-Body Adduction Test | | |
| uraspinatus Test Neer Test | 92 93 | Cross-Body Adduction Test | | |
| rraspinatus Test Neer Test Fests for a Torn Labrum/Instabi Biceps Load Test II | 92 93 lity 97 | Forced Shoulder Abduction and Elbow | 97 | |
| rraspinatus Test Neer Test Fests for a Torn Labrum/Instabi Biceps Load Test II Gergason's Test | 92 93 lity 97 98 | Forced Shoulder Abduction and Elbow Flexion Test | 97 109 | |
| rraspinatus Test Neer Test Tests for a Torn Labrum/Instabi Biceps Load Test II Gergason's Test Crank Test | 92 93 lity 97 98 100 | Forced Shoulder Abduction and Elbow Flexion Test Pain Provocation Test | 97 109 110 | |
| Traspinatus Test Neer Test Fests for a Torn Labrum/Instabi Biceps Load Test II Gergason's Test Crank Test Gim Test | 92 93 lity 97 98 100 101 | Cross-Body Adduction Test Forced Shoulder Abduction and Elbow Flexion Test Pain Provocation Test Sulcus Sign | 97 109 110 111 | |
| rraspinatus Test Veer Test Fests for a Torn Labrum/Instabi Biceps Load Test II Grank Test Crank Test Kim Test erk Test | 92 93 lity 97 98 100 101 102 | Cross-Body Adduction Test Forced Shoulder Abduction and Elbow Flexion Test Pain Provocation Test Sulcus Sign Active Compression Test/O'Brien's Test Bocieted Succession Test/O'Brien's Test | 97 109 110 111 112 | |
| rraspinatus Test Neer Test Fests for a Torn Labrum/Instabi Biceps Load Test II Grank Test Grank Test Grank Test erk Test erk Test | 92 93 lity 97 98 100 101 102 103 | Forced Shoulder Abduction Test Forced Shoulder Abduction and Elbow Flexion Test Pain Provocation Test Sulcus Sign Active Compression Test/O'Brien's Test Resisted Supination External Rotation Test (RSFRT) | 97 109 110 111 112 | |
| rraspinatus Test Veer Test Fests for a Torn Labrum/Instabi Biceps Load Test II Gergason's Test Grank Test Grank Test Gim Test erk Test unterior Release/Surprise Test peed's Test | 92 93 lity 97 98 100 101 102 103 104 | Forced Shoulder Abduction Test Forced Shoulder Abduction and Elbow Flexion Test Pain Provocation Test Sulcus Sign Active Compression Test/O'Brien's Test Resisted Supination External Rotation Test (RSERT) Compression-Rotation Test | 97 109 110 111 112 114 | |
| raspinatus Test Veer Test Fests for a Torn Labrum/Instabi Biceps Load Test II Gergason's Test Grank Test Grank Test Gim Test erk Test unterior Release/Surprise Test peed's Test upprehension Test | 92 93 lity 97 98 100 101 102 103 104 105 | Forced Shoulder Abduction Test Forced Shoulder Abduction and Elbow Flexion Test Pain Provocation Test Sulcus Sign Active Compression Test/O'Brien's Test Resisted Supination External Rotation Test (RSERT) Compression-Rotation Test Anterior Slide Test | 97 109 110 111 112 114 115 | |
| raspinatus Test Veer Test Fests for a Torn Labrum/Instabi Vergason's Test Crank Test Crank Test Crank Test Sim Test erk Test Anterior Release/Surprise Test peed's Test Apprehension Test Apprehension Test Apprehension Test/ | 92 93 lity 97 98 100 101 102 103 104 105 | Cross-Body Adduction Test Forced Shoulder Abduction and Elbow Flexion Test Pain Provocation Test Sulcus Sign Active Compression Test/O'Brien's Test Resisted Supination External Rotation Test (RSERT) Compression-Rotation Test Anterior Slide Test Birens Load Test | 97 109 110 111 112 114 115 116 | |
| raspinatus Test Veer Test Fests for a Torn Labrum/Instabil Biceps Load Test II (ergason's Test Crank Test Crank Test Crank Test Erk Test erk Test Materior Release/Surprise Test peed's Test pprehension Test pprehension Test Jobe Relocation Test Additied Relocation Test | 92 93 lity 97 98 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 | Cross-Body Adduction Test Forced Shoulder Abduction and Elbow Flexion Test Pain Provocation Test Sulcus Sign Active Compression Test/O'Brien's Test Resisted Supination External Rotation Test (RSERT) Compression-Rotation Test Anterior Slide Test Biceps Load Test Clunk Test | 97 109 110 111 112 114 115 116 117 | |



M.Moen 2010



Management of Shoulder test in Overhead Sport (OS)

- » Using one shoulder test is no test
- » Test in the good position both sides
- » Learn to test in the same order
- » Use SAT / SRT in impingement testing
- » "Golden" stability test = Relocation
- » "Golden" AC-joint test = AC pinpoint
- » Learn to recognize several injury pattern
- » Follow testing with Ultra-sound imaging
- » Note: combination of injuries in OS





UltraSound imaging

An orthopedic surgeon's guide, Chantal Plomb-Holmes et al Els. 2018

What to expect in clinical examination? PA + GH abd limited / Scapula dyskinesia ER 0 Degrees R +/-Jobe ++ pain and weakness Hawkins + O'brien +/-SAT / SRT + Yocum -Lift of -Speed -Stability test -AC pinpoint -



Partial SSP lesion

Better result if clinical shoulder examination and ultra-sound is in the same hand!

Clinical guideline MDI " Ian Bayley" Stanmore Triangle

Traumatic structural POLAR type I SURGERY

Motor control

Motor control

Habitual not structural Polar type III Needs Specialist SPT A-traumatic structural POLAR type II Combi I-III needs skillful and carefully management

Motor control +/-

Motorcontrol



SOROF: A. Jaggi 2008

Several authors Kibler 2003, Arzi 2013, Radwan 2014, Cools 2020,

FAD

- Primary acute anterior shoulder dislocation is a common orthopaedic injury, with an incidence rate of 1.7% in the general population.1,2 Recurrence rate, pain, and inability to participate in sports activities are the most common reasons for concern. Although limited, the available evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTS) supports
 - primary surgery in young adults engaged in highly demanding sports or job activities. There is lack of evidence to determine whether surgical or nonsurgical treatment is better for other categories of injury. *Longo 2014*
- Background: Conservative treatment of posttraumatic anteroinferior shoulder instability leads to a high failure rate in a young and active population. However, treatment in an adolescent age group is not well documented. Methods: The rehabilitation protocol was the same for both groups. All patients were followed up prospectively after 12, 24, and 36 months using Rowe Score. *Gigis 2014*

From Duth Orthopedic View the Consensus is: FAD is not a primary surgery approach



SINEX Program TASD

(SHOULDER INSTABILITY EXERCISE)

Henrik Eshoj et al 2017-2020

- Background:
 - Risk of recurrent dislocations

Operative treatment is superior above conservative treatment

Risk of complications after surgery

No proper specific exercise program was published (post-op/cons)

Based on biomechanical and neuromuscular training principles – (from lower limb studies)

Study design: Randomised, assessor-blinded, controlled, multicentre trial SINEX:

Individually Program seven exercises: scapular setting and control, glenohumeral setting and control during internal and external rotation, co-contraction of glenohumeral muscles, dynamic glenohumeral stability, training of glenohumeral proprioception.

• Control:

Individual supervised program

Shoulder Instability Exercise Program, Henrik Ode Eshoj 2017-2020

SINEX Program TASD

(SHOULDER INSTABILITY EXERCISE)

Henrik Eshoj et al 2017-2020

• 12 WKS PROGRAM

SINEX:

Individually program seven exercises:

Type: scapular setting and control, glenohumeral setting and control during internal and external rotation, co-contraction of glenohumeral muscles, dynamic glenohumeral stability, training of glenohumeral proprioception

From Basic 2x20-25 reps 7x/wk to Elite 2x10-12 reps 3x/wk

Control:

Individual home based supervised program 3x/wk 2x 10reps

Type: Abd / IR / ER / Scaption

From isometric to dynamic

Result:

No difference after 12 wks on short term, long term significant improvement in RASD

SLAP lesion

- "Nonsurgical treatment for SLAP lesions in professional baseball players can result in a high rate of return to previous performance," Wasyk W. Fedoriw, MD, said at the American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine Annual Meeting 2012 here. [Wasyk W. Fedoriw, MD] Wasyk W. Fedoriw
- 45 Pitchers / 23 PP
- RTP Pitchers NonS 87% / 65% S 46% / 12%
- RTP PP NonS 100% / **75%** S 71% / **35%**
- 2021
- Surgery procedure is necessary when rehab of a specialist shoulder PT failed. When pain is the main factor there will be consider a tenodesis or tenotomy of the biceps longum tendon.

Examination Shoulder Capsule



Harryman 1990, JBJS / McClure 2007, JOSPT no3 Ellenbecker 2012

Myofascial Approach



Thomas Myers 2018

Strength test





Isometric: BCP-TCP-ER-IR SA-DELT-TA-TT





(Byram et al. AJSM 2010) (Ellenbecker & Cools 2010)



Isokinetic: conc/conc IR:ER RATIO=10:7 *Dvirr 2000*

Electromyographic Analysis of the Supraspinatus and Deltoid Muscles



| Muscle | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| | Full Can | | Empty Can | | Prone Full Can | | P Value for Repeated-Measures |
| | Mean ± SD | Range | Mean ± SD | Range | Mean ± SD | Range | Analysis of Variance |
| Supraspinatus | 62 ± 40 | 44–80 | 63 ± 45 | 44–83 | 67 ± 50 | 44-89 | .807 |
| Middle deltoid | 52 ± 27 | 39-65 | 77 ± 44 | 55-99 | 63 ± 31 | 48–79 | .029* |
| Posterior deltoid | 38 ± 32 | 23-53 | 54 ± 28 | 41-67 | 87 ± 53 | 62-111 | .001* |

Boston Red Sox Journal of Athletic Training 2007

Strategy in treatment of the Overhead Athlete Shoulder

- » Basic: Individual Program and accent is always hands on!!!!!
- » Check during mobilization the ER/IR in 90d Abd
- Mobilisation:
 CTO region
 Opposite Hip incl. Fasciae technique Front Lane
 Lower extremities if necessary
 Scapula in back/side/prone position, incl. Fasciae technique lig. Thor.Lumb.
- » GIRD / capsule stretching
- » Daily Home exercises



Opposite Hip





Friction of m. Pectoralis









Mobilisation Scapulo-thoracic





Mobilisation Scap and GH







GIRD management



GIRD 90 degrees

Todd Ellenbecker

Pumping method with Hold Relax, avoid Impingement!!!

Stretching improves ROM , and increases the subacromial space in overhead athletes with GIRD (Maenhout 2012)

(Manske 2010) (Mc Clure 2005) (Tyler 2010) (Ellenbecker 2012) (Harryman 1990, JBJS / McClure 2007, JOSPT no3)

Home exercises





Home exercises





Phase: Scap setting exercises Closed chain



Phase: Kinetic Chain exercises Open Chain





Phase: Active mobilisation











Time table individual rehab

» Start basic program 4-6(?) weeks

» Improvement:

Progress with strength training, scap stabilisation and active mobilisation Re-test after 6-8 weeks Adjustment on home program Improvement: Start plyometrics and sport specific exercise Re-test after 12-18 wks Adjustment on home program Check RTP criteria

» No Improvent:

pain / restriction Shoulder joint is main issue—> injection, after test incl. US exam Re-test after 6-8 wks No improvement: surgery



Take home message: RTP Criteria

- » Little or no pain / Near normal ROM and strength
- » Normal shoulder function / Normal functional ability
- » Normal sport-specific skills Mccarthy et al 2004
- » Side difference max. 20% IR in ROM / 10% in TROM
- » 10% more strength on dominant side
- » ER / IR = 6,6 : 10
- » Scap strength: TA and TT equal on both side
- » Preventive stretch of GIRD
- » Individual home exercises program: mobilisation / stretching / strength

Cools 2010 -2012 -2020



However? The Challenge of the Sporting shoulder

- » Highlights:
 - 1 preventing injuries
 - 2 providing evidenced based practice rehabilitation
 - 3 guide the athlete toward RTP
 - Nothing seems like it is!!!

Ann Cools et al, 2020



Shoulder function on a high level bring sportsman / woman on a high level



Thank you for your attention!!!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=APmzoYoxNI4